A Bizarre Presentation of Bilateral Mandibular Osteoporotic Bone Marrow Defect (A Case Report)

Abbas Al-Ramzi, Maysoun Kasem, and Karim M. Adel

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Osteoporotic bone marrow defect of the jaw is an uncommon localized radiolucency that consists of hematopoietic red marrow with varying amounts of fatty yellow marrow. The lesion is usually asymptomatic and accidentally discovered during a routine radiographic examination; however, some studies showed different results.

CASE REPORT: This is an uncommon case of bilateral mandibular osteoporotic bone marrow defect in a 40-year-old healthy female. One of the defects reoccurred, and it involves dental implants.

PLAN OF TREATMENT: Lesion was managed by curettage and the patient was followed up after 1,6,12 months.

CONCLUSIONS: in order to diagnose FOBMD and differentiate it from other lesions, further researches are needed to help fully understand it, since the exact incident and etiology are not established yet.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Focal osteoporotic bone marrow defect (FOBMD) of the jaw is a localized radiolucency that is usually affect the posterior part of the mandible1 and consists of hematopoietic red marrow with varying amounts of fatty yellow marrow2-4. The lesion is usually asymptomatic and incidentally discovered during a routine radiographic examination5.

Focal osteoporotic bone marrow defects commonly seen in the posterior mandible of middle-aged women. Radiographically, this lesion appears as a localized radiolucency, which differs in shape, size, trabeculae, and the definition of its borders from one patient to another6.

In order to distinguish FOBMD from other common radiolucent lesions affecting the jaws such as cysts, pseudocysts, tumors, or malignancies, a thorough clinical examination combined with radiographic evaluation and histopathological analysis should be conducted to reach the diagnosis8.

In this report, we discuss an unusual case of bilateral osteoporotic bone marrow defects of the mandible with its clinical, radiological, and histopathological findings.

II. CASE REPORT

A 40-year-old female, with no significant past medical history, was referred to the oral and maxillofacial surgery department in Bniad Al-Gar specialized dental center, Kuwait, from a private clinic for consultation after an accidental finding of radiolucent lesions on the both sides posterior part of the mandible the during an implant follow up visit. As detailed history was obtained from the patient recalls having surgical extractions and implant placements in areas that correspond to the lesions.

A. Intraoral examination

The result of clinical examination showed healthy mucosa with no signs of abnormalities nor infection.
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B. Radiographic evaluation

A panoramic radiograph (OPG) and periapical x-rays for
the right and left mandibular molar areas were performed for the patient. Right and left side of the mandible showed a well-defined radiolucent area with internally amorphous bone in their superior border, the lesions showed a variable cortication. Figure 1 showed the positioned of radiolucency on the right side occupying the space between two implants replacing the 1st premolar and 1st molar. Superiorly, this lesion extends to an area bellow the crest of the alveolar ridge, and inferiorly to bellow the apical regions of the implants. On the left side, the radiolucency extended between the 2nd molar and the anterior border and the ramus, superiorly it extended to the crest of the alveolar ridge and to the inferior alveolar canal inferiorly without violating it. The two lesions were asymptomatic and had no effect on the mandibular cortex.

C. Laboratory investigation

Under local anesthesia, a biopsy was taken from the left edentulous side and the two bony tissues bits inside the lesion were sent to pathological evaluation. The histopathological analysis described the biopsy to have both connective tissue and the calcified structure composition contents (figure 2). The connective tissue showed dense cellularity that resemble the normal hematopoietic bone marrow characters; erythroid, monocytic, and granulocytic series, as well as fat cells, megakaryocytes, and sparse collagen fibers. The two calcified structures were composed of irregular trabeculae of osteoid and lobulated basophilic masses of cementum. It didn’t show any signs of abnormal cell morphology or malignancy.

D. Final diagnosis

Based on the patient age, sex, lesions locations, clinical and radiographic finding, and histopathological examination, the lesion was diagnosed as focal osteoporotic bone marrow defect of the mandible.

E. Treatment

The lesions were managed by curettage.

F. Follow up

After one-year, Radiographs were taken (Figure 3) and it showed signs of osseous repair, more on the left side than the right one. The right lesion was being monitored for any future complication such as implants displacement.

III. DISCUSSION

Hematopoietic red bone marrow is found in all newborns’ marrow. However, in adult jaws, the yellow bone marrow comprises around 75%, while the red bone marrow is about 25% and is usually restricted to the angle of the mandible, maxillary tuberosity, and condylar process in the jaws. FOBMD is usually found in the posterior mandible of females in their fourth to fifth decades of life. The incident of FOBMD is not established yet, since most of the cases are clinically asymptomatic and discovered accidently.

Radiographically, FOBMD varies in its presentation from sharply defined radiolucency with precise border to ill-defined radiolucency with irregular borders. This lesion also varies in size from a few millimeters to several centimeters in diameters and the presence and type of trabiculations. Some FOBMDs are multifocal, bilateral.

This report presents a case with same common demographic, clinical, radiographical, and histopathological findings in patients with FOBMD, but here we have a bilateral involvement of the mandible. Makek and Lello found one case that has bilateral mandibular involvement out of 20 FOBMD cases described in their study. Moreover, according to a literature review by bouquet and his colleagues based on 596 cases of FOBMD, 3% of patients had bilateral occurrence of this lesion within their jaws. In Brazil, two case reports were found regarding bilateral FOBMD in the mandible.

Microscopically, to diagnose FOBMD, hematopoietic marrow components such as erythroid, monocytic, granulocytic and lymphocytic series with megakaryocytes and fatty marrow need to be present. This is an important significance, since the clinical and radiographical findings may be confused with other pathological conditions. As in this report, the well-defined bilateral radiolucency suggest the presence of a benign condition as a cyst or a tumor. In order to differentiate between these conditions, histopathological analysis is
necessary. In contrary, Makek and Lello believed that a meticulous radiographic evaluation of the lesion may help in reaching a final diagnosis without the need for histopathological analysis. They mentioned three prominent radiographic features to look for; first the lesion is usually round to oval. Second, the lesion usually has a well-defined anterior border that sometimes appears sclerotic, whereas the posterior border is usually poorly defined. Lastly, the lesion can be seen with no internal structures, or trabeculations.

The exact pathogenesis of FOBMD is still unclear. However, three theories are explained to discover this lesion: 

1. FOBMD is a remnant of embryonic red bone marrow that failed to convert to yellow bone marrow, since FOBMD does not appear in adolescence and in adulthood in a similar degree, Barker and coworkers agreed that this theory is unlikely to be true. 

2. Hyperplasia of the bone marrow occur to compensate the increase of functional demand for the blood cell in the cases of the systemic disease. However, few reports is supporting this theory specially with systemic condition such as sickle cell anemia. Sanner and Ramin reported a case of a 48-year-old male with sickle cell anemia, who has a bilateral mandibular involvement with FOBMD. 

3. Abnormal bone regeneration occurs in an area with previous trauma or surgery, such as tooth extraction or dental implant. Shankland and C suggested that this defective bone regeneration is due to transient ischemic osteoporosis as a result of the interruption blood flow within the bone marrow. Moreover, according to Gurevitch and his colleagues, fatty bone marrow has mesenchymal progenitor cells, which have the ability to produce hematopoietic microenvironment. In 2011, a research study was conducted by Lee and others, in which they were able to isolate these cells from mandibular marrow aspirates. Therefore, the third theory appears to be the most reasonable in this case, since the lesions exists in an edentulous area with history of previous surgical extractions. This theory might also explain the redevelopment of the lesion after implant placing. Overheating of bone or peri-implant bone compression may cause FOBMD around implants as a defective healing response to surgical trauma. However, trauma during the removal of the lesion may also explain the reoccurrence of new one.

IV. CONCLUSION

In order to diagnosis FOBMD and differentiate it from other lesions, a thorough clinical and radiographic examination with histopathological analysis is necessary. No treatment is required for it. Further researches are needed regards this topic to help us fully understand it, since the exact incident and etiology of FOBMD are not established yet.
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